

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

THE INDEPENDENCE PROJECT, INC., et al.,)
)
 Plaintiff(s),)
)
 vs.)
)
 HERBST DEVELOPMENT, LLC,)
)
 Defendant(s).)
 _____)

Case No. 2:13-cv-1977-JCM-NJK
**ORDER DENYING STIPULATION
 TO EXTEND**
 (Docket No. 9)

Pending before the Court is a stipulation to extend the deadline to file a response to the complaint. Docket No. 9. Stipulations to extend Court deadlines are not effective absent Court approval. *See* Local Rule 7-1(b). A showing of “good cause” is required for requests to extend deadlines. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b)(1). The pending stipulation provides no reason whatsoever for the requested extension, so the Court cannot find good cause exists. Accordingly, it is hereby DENIED without prejudice.¹

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: December 3, 2013



 NANCY J. KOPPE
 United States Magistrate Judge

¹ The Court recently explained to counsel for both parties here that stipulations for extensions must contain the reason for the extension. *See Independence Project Inc. v. Spring Oaks Shopping Center*, 2:13-cv-1446-JCM-NJK (Docket No. 11) (“Pending before the Court is a stipulation to extend the deadline to file an answer. Docket No. 10. Stipulations to extend Court deadlines are not effective absent Court approval. *See* Local Rule 7-1(b). A showing of “good cause” is required for requests to extend deadlines. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b)(1). . . . The parties provide no reason whatsoever for the requested extension, so the Court cannot find good cause exists.”)